#### Oilfield Data Services, Inc.

## Limitations to Using Simple P.I. Equation & How ODSI Can Help



## Limitations to Using Simple P.I. Equation

Changing P.I. value tells you that the performance of the well is changing, but it doesn't tell you **WHY it's changing!** 

P.I. = J = 
$$\frac{DP \ term}{Q}$$
  
 $Q = \frac{kh \ (DP \ term)}{141.2 \ \mu B[\ln(\frac{r_e}{r_W}) + S_T - 0.75]}$   
 $S_T = s + D*q$   
DP Term is some form of:  $P^n_{reservoir} - P^n_{wf}$   
There are more terms that matter!

- Is kh changing?
- Is Reservoir Pressure or P<sub>wf</sub> changing?
- Are Fluid Properties changing?
- Is skin (S<sub>T</sub>) changing?
- Is r<sub>e</sub> changing?
- Is there additional pressure drop in the well bore?

# ODSI can help identify why your P.I. is changing based on which of these terms in the P.I. equation are changing



### How We Do It: Data Screening & Analysis



## How We Determine the Changes in the Components

#### **Components that change in the P.I. Equation:**

- kh: Build-ups, Drawdowns, 2-rate tests using WHPs or DHGPs\*
   ODSI Method: Automatic PTA
- P<sub>reservoir</sub>: Build-ups, 2-rate PBUs using WHPs or DHGPs\*
   ODSI Method: Automatic PTA
- P<sub>wf</sub>: Calculated Flowing BHP
   ODSI Method: Real-time BHP calculation from WHPs or DHGPs and Rates
   (Can also Calculate Rates if Provided both WHP & DHGP)
- Total Skin: Build-ups, Drawdowns, 2-rate tests using WHPs or DHGPs
   ODSI Method: Automatic PTA
- Rate-Dependent Perm & Rate-Dependent Skin: PBU + Multi-Rate Test
   ODSI Method: Automatic AOF/Multi-Rate Analysis



### How We Determine the Changes in the Components

#### • Calculating r<sub>e</sub> from PSS data:

- r<sub>e</sub> [=] Effective Circular Drainage Radius = ft
- h [=] Net Pay = ft

HCPV [=] Hydrocarbon Pore Volume (S.T. or s.c.) =  $\frac{\pi r_e^2 h * \emptyset * (1-S_w)}{5.615 B}$ 

- B [=] Formation Volume Factor = ResBBL/(units of Q/d)
- Vc [=] Compressibility Volume =  $\frac{Q}{\frac{DP}{DT} * C_t}$  (STB or MMscf)

DP/DT [=] PSS Decline Slope = psi/d

C<sub>t</sub> [=] Total System Compressibility = 1/psi

Set s.c. HCPV = Vc; solve for  $r_e$ 

$$\mathbf{r}_{e} = \sqrt{\frac{5.615 \ B \ *Q}{\pi h * \ \emptyset \ *(1 - S_{W}) * \frac{DP}{DT} * C_{t}}} = \sqrt{\frac{5.615 \ B \ *Vc}{\pi h * \ \emptyset \ *(1 - S_{W})}}$$



#### **Recognizing Excessive Wellbore Friction (Scale):**

- Using WHP data only, there is no way to quantitatively differentiate between completion skin and wellbore scale
- To split skin from scale, a downhole gauge must be run in combination with high-resolution WHP measurements
- However, a well can still be screened for excessive pressure drop using just the high-resolution WHP data. These wells can then be flagged as wells that have excess pressure loss (due to skin, scale or both) and can be designated as candidates for a downhole gauge survey and PBU
- With downhole gauges (flowing survey & PBU), the pressure drop in the well bore and the pressure drop due to skin can easily be quantified. The incremental production improvement of treating the issue can also be calculated



## ODSI's Additional Tool: Nodal Analysis

# We can also provide Nodal Analysis as an additional tool to further supplement your current well performance analysis



Calculate well's Qmax for given minimum WHP pressure

#### **IPR Equations:**

$$\begin{split} q_{\rm g} &= \frac{0.703 kh (p_{\rm R}^2 - p_{\rm wf}^2)}{T \mu_{\rm g} Z [\ln (r_{\rm e}/r_{\rm w}) - 0.75 + s]} \\ q_{\rm o} &= \frac{kh (p_{\rm R} - P_{\rm wf})}{141.2 \mu_{\rm o} B_{\rm o} [\ln (r_{\rm e}/r_{\rm w}) - 0.75 + s]} \\ \end{split}$$

**R**<sub>e</sub>, effective radius can be rate dependent **k**, perm can be rate dependent

**S**<sub>T</sub>, Total skin can be rate dependent

**P**, **kh**,  $\mathbf{r}_{e}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_{T}$ , and fluid properties can all change with time

